**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM**

**PRESENT :**

**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.SIRI JAGAN**

**FRIDAY, THE 3RD AUGUST 2007 / 12TH SRAVANA 1929**

**WP(C).No. 14552 of 2007(G)**
**----------**

**PETITIONER:**
**----------**

**K.M. SUDHEESH, 11/360,**
**PUTHENPARAMBIL, KUNISSERY, PALAKKAD.**

**BY ADV. SRI. I. DINESH MENON**

**RESPONDENTS:**
**----------**

1. **THE REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY,**
   **PALAKKAD.**

2. **THE SECRETARY,**
   **REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY, PALAKKAD.**

**BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. M.R. SABU.**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 03/08/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

**WP(C).No. 14552 of 2007(G)**

**A P P E N D I X**

**PETITIOENR'S EXHIBITS:**

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| **EXT. P1** | **:** | **COPY OF THE APPLICATION FOR REGULAR PERMIT DATED 23.08.2006.** |
| **EXT. P2** | **:** | **COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS DATED 17.01.2007 SIGNED ON 19.04.2007.** |
| **EXT. P3** | **:** | **COPY OF THE JUDGMENT IN W.P. © NO. 6696/2005 DATED 18.03.2005.** |

**// True Copy //**

**P.A. to Judge.**

**Acd**

**S. SIRI JAGAN, J.**

**----------**

**W.P.(C)NO. 14552 OF 2007**

**----------**

**DATED THIS THE DAY 3rd OF AUGUST, 2007**

**JUDGMENT**

The petitioner filed an application for regular permit, which was granted. Along with the application he had also submitted proposed timings in respect of the permit also. The 1st respondent, thereafter, took the application for consideration and by Ext.P2 adjourned the same directing the petitioner to submit a modified proposal with all trips to Alathur- Kozhiknjampara. The petitioner contends that this modified proposal is only in respect of timings. The question of modification of the timings arises only at the time of settling of timings by the 2nd respondent after grant of permit is the contention of the petitioner.

2. I have heard the learned Government pleader also. I am satisfied that for consideration of the application for grant of permit, it is not necessary to submit a modified proposal regarding the timings especially since the petitioner has already, along with the application, submitted a proposed timings.

In the above circumstances, I dispose of this writ petition with a direction to the 1st respondent to consider application of the petitioner

without insisting on a modified proposal as directed in Ext.P2 as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

**S. SIRI JAGAN, JUDGE**

Acd